

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,907	10/644,907 08/21/2003		Hans Boeck	Q74473	6445
23373	7590	06/12/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRU			MCCALL, ERIC SCOTT		
2100 PENN SUITE 800		NIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				2855	
				DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/644,907	BOECK ET AL.				
omee rieden cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Eric S. McCall	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	brrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE STATE OF THE MONTHS From the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M	<u>arch 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-20 is/are pending in the adaptive day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,8,9,11,12 and 14-19 is/are rejected for claim(s) 3-7 and 10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	4) 🗀 Interdicus ()	(PTO 412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2855

TEST STAND WITH TIPPING DEVICE FOR MOTOR VEHICLES

NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

In response to the Applicant's Request for Continued Examination dated March 27, 2006.

CLAIMS

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor (2,929,519).

With respect to amended independent claim 1, Taylor suggests (Fig. 1) a stand having a tipping device comprising:

a lower frame unit (the base frame assembly 12);

an upper frame unit (the pair of supporting rails 16) configured to tip relative to the lower frame unit; and

four lifting units (two fore 14 and two aft 15 linkage assemblies; Fig. 5) respectively disposed in corner zones of the frame units, each of the lifting units including a respective piston rod extendible in a direction at least substantially orthogonal to the lower frame unit and connected to the upper frame, and operable to independently tip the upper frame (each lifting unit includes a cylinder which provides the ability for each lifting unit to move independently of the other lifting units).

It is noted that the phrase "Test stand for motor vehicles" in the preamble of claim 1 has not been given patentable weight because the body of the claim does not rely upon the said phrase for completeness (ie. the body of the claim does not require a test stand for a motor vehicle).

With respect to the Applicant's amendment to claim 1 that each piston rod extends in a direction at least substantially orthogonal to the lower frame, the prior art of Taylor does suggest that each piston rod extends in a direction at least substantially orthogonal to the lower frame as claimed. Figures 4 and 6 of Taylor show a lower frame unit (12) which is angled upward towards Art Unit: 2855

the middle thereof. When the piston rod of the cylinder (48) extends and raises the upper frame unit, there comes a point in time when the piston rod is substantially orthogonal to the lower frame unit because of the angle in the lower frame unit. This point in time is approximately shown in Figure 6 of Taylor because if a line was drawn along the extending piston rod, that line would be at least substantially orthogonal to the lower frame unit as claimed.

With respect to claim 2, Taylor suggests in Fig. 1 the lower frame unit and the upper frame unit are interconnected exclusively via the lifting units (Fig. 6).

With respect to claim 8, Taylor suggests the four lifting units being configured exclusively for tipping the upper frame unit (Fig. 5).

With respect to claim 9, Taylor suggests the lifting units are controlled with a control terminal via a central control unit (Fig. 1; 81-84, 86).

With respect to independent claim 11, Taylor suggests a stand having a tipping device comprising:

a lower frame unit (12);

an upper frame unit (the pair of supporting rails 16) configured to tip relative to the lower frame unit; and

Page 5

lifting means (two fore 14 and two aft 15 linkage assemblies; Fig. 5) attached to the lower frame unit and connectable to the upper frame that tip the upper frame, the lifting means including piston rods extendible in a direction at least substantially orthogonal to the lower frame unit and connected to the upper frame, and providing the sole source of tipping because Figures 4 and 6 of Taylor show a lower frame unit (12) which is angled upward towards the middle thereof, and thus when the piston rod of the cylinder (48) extends and raises the upper frame unit, there comes a point in time when the piston rod is substantially orthogonal to the lower frame unit because of the angle in the lower frame unit. This point in time is approximately shown in Figure 6 of Taylor because if a line was drawn along the extending piston rod, that line would be at least substantially orthogonal to the lower frame unit as claimed.

It is noted that the phrase "Test stand for motor vehicles" in the preamble of claim 11 has not been given patentable weight because the body of the claim does not rely upon the said phrase for completeness (ie. the body of the claim does not require a test stand for a motor vehicle).

With respect to claim 12, Taylor suggests the lifting means being disposed in corner zones of the frame units (Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 14, Taylor suggests that the piston rods are independently operable (col. 5, lines 1-9).

Art Unit: 2855

With respect to claim 15, Taylor suggests the lifting means being operable to tilt any load thereon, and thus a vehicle, in at least one of a longitudinal and transverse direction relative to an axis of the load/vehicle (Figs. 1 & 5).

35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor (2,929,519).

With respect to independent claim 16, Taylor suggests a test stand having a tipping device comprising:

a lower frame unit (12);

an upper frame unit (the pair of supporting rails 16) configured to tip relative to the lower frame unit; and

lifting units (two fore 14 and two aft 15 linkage assemblies; Fig. 5) extendable in an axial direction, each of the lifting units operable to independently (col. 5, lns 1-9) tip the upper frame.

Taylor fails to explicitly teach the upper frame unit configured to secure a motor vehicle. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art armed with said teaching that the upper frame unit of Taylor could be used to secure a motor vehicle.

The motivation being that Taylor sets forth that the upper frame unit thereof can be used to handle heavy equipment and the like. Since a motor vehicle is "heavy equipment", supporting a motor vehicle on the upper frame unit would be in the realm of one having ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to claim 17, Taylor suggests each of the lifting units being independently extendable (col. 5, lines 1-9).

With respect to claim 18, Taylor suggests the lifting units being operable to tilt any load thereon, and thus a vehicle, in at least one of a longitudinal and transverse direction relative to an axis of the load/vehicle (Figs. 1 & 5).

With respect to claim 19, Taylor suggests the lifting units comprising four piston rods (the piston rods of each of the respective cylinder hoists, 48) disposed in corner zones of the frame units (Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,907

Art Unit: 2855

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-7 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 20 has been found to be allowable over the prior art.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Eric S. McCall whose telephone number is (571) 272-2183.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric S. McCall Primary Examiner

Page 8

June 06, 2006

111111